arXiv:1403.2852v2 [math.AP] 18 Mar 2014

Global regularity for a logarithmically supercritical hyperdissipative dyadic equation

D. Barbato
Università di Padova
barbato@math.unipd.it

F. Morandin
Università di Parma
francesco.morandin@unipr.it

M. Romito
Università di Pisa
romito@dm.unipi.it

March 19, 2014

Abstract

We prove global existence of smooth solutions for a slightly supercritical dyadic model. We consider a generalized version of the dyadic model introduced by Katz-Pavlovic [10] and add a viscosity term with critical exponent and a supercritical correction. This model catches for the dyadic a conjecture that for Navier-Stokes equations was formulated by Tao [13].

1 Introduction

The *a priori* estimate of relevant quantities is a crucial part of the analysis of PDEs. For our purposes, the most interesting example are the Navier–Stokes equations in dimension three. In that case the kinetic energy and the energy dissipation are super–critical, hence in a way negligible, quantities with respect to the scaling invariance of the problem. Indeed, proofs of regularity are available only in the so–called hyper–dissipative case, where the Laplace operator is replaced by $(-\Delta)^\alpha$ for $\alpha \geq 5/4$ and this additional dissipation makes the energy relevant again (see for instance [11, 9]).

In a recent paper Tao [13] has shown that hyper–dissipativity can be slightly relaxed by a logarithmic factor. The idea originates from the same author [12] and has been applied in other problems, mainly from dispersive equations. In [13] Tao adds a small correction to the hyper–dissipative term, replacing $(-\Delta)^{5/4}$ with

$$\frac{(-\Delta)^{5/4}}{g((-\Delta)^{1/2})^2},$$

and provides a simple and neat proof of global existence if $\int 1/(sg(s)^4) = \infty$. He then suggests that the same result should hold, based on some heuristics on the flow of energy, under the weaker condition $\int 1/(sg(s)^2) = \infty$.

The aim of this paper is to prove Tao's conjecture for the dyadic model, a simplified version of the Navier–Stokes equations, that nevertheless has shown to be an effective tool in the understanding of the full Navier–Stokes problem [14]. In particular, we

believe that the main result of our paper (Theorem 5) gives a complete answer to some questions raised in Remark 5.2 of [14]. As a bonus result, in Section 3.3.1 we prove that the conjecture in [13] is true for the vector-valued dyadic model introduced in [14]. A proof of the conjecture for the full Navier–Stokes equations is a work in progress.

1.1 The model

Given $\beta > 0$ and two real sequences $\phi = (\phi_n)_{n\geq 1}$ and $g = (g_n)_{n\geq 1}$, with ϕ bounded and g positive, set $k_n = 2^{\beta n}$ for $n \geq 0$. Consider the critical hyper–dissipative generalized dyadic model,

$$\begin{cases} X_n' = \phi_{n-1} k_{n-1} X_{n-1}^2 - \phi_n k_n X_n X_{n+1} - \frac{1}{g_n} k_n X_n, \\ X_n(0) = x_n, \end{cases} \qquad t > 0, n \geq 1, \tag{1}$$

where $X = (X_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is a family of real functions, $X_0 \equiv 0$ and $x = (x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is the given initial condition.

The classical critical regime here corresponds to $g \equiv 1$. Tao's statement for Navier-Stokes equation, transposed on our model, works whenever $\sum_n g_n^{-2} = \infty$ ($g_n = \sqrt{n}$ for instance), while the conjecture, on our model, states that global regularity should hold for $\sum_n g_n^{-1} = \infty$ (e. g. $g_n = n$).

The role of the coefficients ϕ is to break the structure of the non–linearity. Otherwise, as shown in [4], if $\phi \equiv 1$, the energy flow is very steady, in the sense that the transfer of energy from X_n to X_{n+1} starts before X_{n-1} is discharged enough and this allows to prove regularity in a full supercritical regime. Further generalizations are possible, see Section 3.3.

The dyadic model has been introduced in [10] and analyzed in several other works [7, 8, 1, 2]. The model with viscosity has been initially introduced in [6] and further analyzed in [5, 4].

1.2 The dyadic version of [13]

It is easy to be convinced that Tao's condition $\int 1/(sg(s)^4) = \infty$ reads in our case as $\sum 1/g_n^2 = \infty$. To this end, we reproduce in this section the idea of [13] adapted to the dyadic framework. Assume also, as we do, that $(g_n)_{n\geq 1}$ and $(k_n/g_n)_{n\geq 1}$ are non–decreasing. Assume moreover, for simplicity, that $g_n = g(n)$, where g is non–decreasing, continuous, non–zero on $[0,\infty)$ and $\int g(x)^{-2} = \infty$.

Given a solution X, set for $s \geq 1$,

$$a(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{k_n}{g_n} X_n^2, \qquad A(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n^{2s} X_n^2, \qquad B(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{k_n^{2s+1}}{g_n} X_n^2.$$

We know by the energy estimate that $a \in L^1([0,\infty))$. By differentiating and using the Cauchy–Schwartz and Young inequalities,

$$\frac{d}{dt} A + 2B = 2(2^{2\beta s} - 1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n k_n^{2s+1} X_n^2 X_{n+1} \leq B + c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n k_n^{2s+1} X_n^2 X_{n+1}^2.$$

Split the sum on the right–hand side in a sum [L] up to N and in a sum [H] from N on, where N will be chosen at the end. On the one hand,

$$[\mathtt{L}] = \sum_{n=1}^{N} g_n^2 \Big(\frac{k_n}{g_n} X_n^2\Big)(k_n^{2s} X_{n+1}^2) \leq c g_N^2 a A,$$

on the other hand

$$[\mathtt{H}] = \sum_{n \geq N} \frac{g_n}{k_n} (k_n^{s+1} X_n^2)(k_n^{s+1} X_{n+1}^2) \leq \frac{g_N}{k_N} A^2.$$

If we choose N so that $k_N \approx A$, that is $N \approx \log A$, we have

$$\dot{A} \leq c(1+a) g (\log A)^2 A,$$

whose solutions stay bounded on bounded sets.

1.3 The dyadic version of Tao's conjecture

We present here a heuristic argument that shows, as in Remark 1.2 of [13], that the weaker assumption $\sum_n g_n^{-1} = \infty$ is sufficient for global regularity.

Indeed, let X be a weak solution on $[0, T)$ and consider a blow–up scenario in T: at some time t the energy of solution is concentrated in $n, n+1, \dots n+m$ and $n \to \infty$ when $t \to T$. The balance of energy on $n, \dots, n+m$ yields:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=n}^{n+m} X_i^2\Big) = \phi_{n-1} k_{n-1} X_{n-1}^2 X_n - \phi_m k_m X_{n+m}^2 X_{n+m+1} - \sum_{i=n}^{n+m} \frac{k_i}{g_i} X_i^2,$$

where we could imagine $\phi_{n-1} k_{n-1} X_{n-1}^2 X_n$ as the energy moving from $n-1$ to n, $\phi_m k_m X_{n+m}^2 X_{n+m+1}$ the energy moving from $n+m$ to $n+m+1$, and $\frac{k_i}{g_i} X_i^2$ the energy dissipated in i. So, roughly speaking, $k_n X_n^3$ is the speed at which the energy moves from n to $n+1$, whereas $\frac{k_n}{g_n} X_n^2$ is the speed at which the energy is dissipated in n.

Now in the blow–up scenario, to go to high "n"s, the energy has to go through all the states. The ratio between the energy dissipated and the energy that goes through n is $\frac{1}{g_n X_n} \geq \frac{C}{g_n}$. So, to have a non–trivial amount of energy reaching the infinite state, we have to require $\sum g_n^{-1} < \infty$.

Our proof is a rigorous version of the above argument. We find a recursive formula (9) for the tail energy and dissipation. Then we prove that any sequence satisfying the recursion decays super–exponentially fast.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Basic definitions

Definition 1. *A weak solution is a sequence of $X = (X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of differentiable functions on all $[0, \infty)$, satisfying (1).*

Whenever X denotes a weak solution, $E_n(t)$ and $F_n(t)$ will denote the energy of the tails: for all $n \geq 1$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$E_n(t) := \sum_{i \leq n} X_i^2(t) < \infty, \qquad \text{and} \qquad F_n(t) := \sum_{i \geq n} X_i^2(t) \leq \infty.$$

We will also denote by E the total energy of the solution X: for all $t \geq 0$,

$$E(t) := \sum_{n \geq 1} X_n^2(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} E_n(t) = \|X(t)\|_H^2.$$

Clearly $E(t) = E_n(t) + F_{n+1}(t)$ for all $n \geq 1$. From (1) we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}(X_n^2) = 2\phi_{n-1} k_{n-1} X_{n-1}^2 X_n - 2\phi_n k_n X_n^2 X_{n+1} - \frac{2}{g_n} k_n X_n^2,$$

so that if X is a weak solution, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$E_n' = -2\phi_n k_n X_n^2 X_{n+1} - \sum_{i \leq n} \frac{2}{g_i} k_i X_i^2. \tag{2}$$

To compute the variation of F_n we need an extra condition on solutions.

Definition 2. *A weak solution X satisfies the* energy inequality *on $[0,T]$ if*

$$E(t) + \int_0^t \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2}{g_n} k_n X_n^2(s) ds \leq E(0), \qquad t \in [0,T]. \tag{3}$$

A weak solution satisfies the energy equality *if there is equality in the above formula.*

We remark that, as is expected in this class of problems, regularity readily implies uniqueness and that the energy inequality holds (there is no anomalous dissipation). The vice versa is not true in general (see for instance [1, 3]).

By (2) and (3) it follows that, if X satisfies the energy inequality, then

$$F_n(t) \leq F_n(0) + \int_0^t 2\phi_{n-1} k_{n-1} X_{n-1}^2 X_n \, ds - \int_0^t \sum_{i \geq n} \frac{2}{g_i} k_i X_i^2 \, ds. \tag{4}$$

The following proposition gives a sufficient condition for the energy equality.

Proposition 3. *Let $T > 0$ and X be a weak solution with initial condition $x \in H$. If $X \in L^3([0,T]; W^{\beta/3,3})$, then X satisfies the energy equality on $[0,T]$.*

Proof. Let $t \in [0,T]$ and $n \geq 1$. By equation (2),

$$0 \leq E_n(t) + \int_0^t \sum_{i \leq n} \frac{2}{g_i} k_i X_i^2(u) du = E_n(0) - \int_0^t 2\phi_n k_n X_n^2(u) X_{n+1}(u) du. \tag{$\star$}$$

To prove the energy equality, it is sufficient to take the limit for $n \to \infty$ and show that the last term of ($\star$) converges to zero. By Young's inequality,

$$\int_0^t k_n X_n^2(u) |X_{n+1}(u)| du \leq \frac{2}{3} \int_0^t k_n |X_n(u)|^3 du + \frac{1}{3} \int_0^t k_{n+1} |X_{n+1}(u)|^3 du,$$

and the terms on the right–hand side converge to zero, since $X \in L^3([0,T]; W^{\beta/3,3})$. □

2.2 Local existence and uniqueness

For all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \geq 1$, let $W^{s,p}$ denote the Banach space

$$W^{s,p} = \big\{x = (x_n)_{n\geq 1} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} : \|x\|^p_{W^{s,p}} := \sum_{n\geq 1} 2^{psn}|x_n|^p < \infty\big\}.$$

In particular, we set $H^s = W^{s,2}$ and $H := H^0 = \ell^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Proposition 4. *Let $s > 0$ and suppose $x \in H^s$, $g \in H^{-s}$. Then there exist $\eta > 0$, depending only on $\|x\|_{H^s}$, and a unique solution in the class $\mathcal{H} := L^\infty([0,\eta]; H^s)$.*

Proof. In view of applying Banach's fixed point theorem, we introduce the operator $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ defined as follows. For all $n \geq 1$ and $t \in [0,\eta]$, let

$$(\mathcal{F}V)_n(t) := x_n e^{-\frac{k_n}{g_n}t} + \int_0^t e^{-\frac{k_n}{g_n}(t-u)}\Big[\phi_{n-1}k_{n-1}V^2_{n-1}(u) - \phi_n k_n V_n(u)V_{n+1}(u)\Big]du,$$

so that X is a solution if and only if it is a fixed point of $\mathcal{F}$. To apply Banach's fixed point theorem we must show that $\mathcal{F}$ maps some ball $B_{\mathcal{H}}(M) := \{v \in \mathcal{H} : \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq M\}$ into itself and that $\mathcal{F}$ is a contraction on the ball. To this end, we will often use that if $v \in \mathcal{H}$, then $|v_n(t)| \leq k_n^{-s}\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ for all $t \geq 0$, $n \geq 1$.

We deal with the first requirement, so suppose $V \in B_{\mathcal{H}}(M)$. For all $n \geq 1$ and $t \in [0,\eta]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|(\mathcal{F}V)_n(t)| &\leq |x_n|e^{-\frac{k_n}{g_n}t} + \|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty}\int_0^t e^{-\frac{k_n}{g_n}(t-u)}\Big[k_{n-1}V^2_{n-1} + k_n|V_nV_{n+1}|\Big]du \\
&\leq |x_n|e^{-\frac{k_n}{g_n}t} + \|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty}(k_{n-1}^{1-2s} + k_n^{1-s}k_{n+1}^{-s})\|V\|^2\int_0^t e^{-\frac{k_n}{g_n}(t-u)}du \\
&\leq |x_n| + 2\|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty}k_{n-1}^{-2s}g_n(1 - e^{-\frac{k_n}{g_n}t})\|V\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

so $\|\mathcal{F}V\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|x\|_{H^s} + 2\|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty}\|V\|^2 L(\eta)$, where we defined

$$L(t) := \left[\sum_{n\geq 1} k_n^{2s}k_{n-1}^{-4s}g_n^2(1 - e^{-\frac{k_n}{g_n}t})^2\right]^{1/2},$$

and $\sup_{0\leq t\leq\eta} L(t) = L(\eta)$ by monotonicity. We claim that $\lim_{\eta\to 0} L(\eta) = 0$. Consider

$$L^2(\eta) = 2^{4\beta s}\sum_{n\geq 1} k_n^{-2s}g_n^2(1 - e^{-\frac{k_n}{g_n}\eta})^2 \leq 2^{4\beta s}\sum_{n=1}^{N} k_n^{-2s}g_n^2\left(\frac{k_n}{g_n}\eta\right)^2 + 2^{4\beta s}\sum_{n>N} k_n^{-2s}g_n^2.$$

Since $g \in H^{-s}$, we can choose N such that the second term is arbitrarily small, and then choose η in such a way that the first term is small too, hence $L(\eta) \to 0$ as $\eta \to 0$.

Let $M := 2\|x\|_{H^s}$. If η is small enough so that $L(\eta) \leq (4\|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty}M)^{-1}$, then $\|\mathcal{F}V\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq M/2 + 2\|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty}M^2L(\eta) \leq M$, so the first requirement is satisfied for all η such that $L(\eta) \leq (8\|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty}\|x\|_{H^s})^{-1}$.

To prove that $\mathcal{F}$ is a contraction, suppose $X, Y \in B_{\mathcal{H}}(M)$. For all $n \geq 1$ and $t \in [0, \eta]$,

$$|(\mathcal{F}X - \mathcal{F}Y)_n(t)| \leq \|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty} \int_0^t e^{-\frac{k_n}{g_n}(t-u)} \Big[k_{n-1} \big| X_{n-1}^2 - Y_{n-1}^2 \big| + k_n \big| X_n X_{n+1} - Y_n Y_{n+1} \big| \Big] du.$$

With the obvious decomposition $ab - cd = \frac{1}{2}(a-c)(b+d) + \frac{1}{2}(b-d)(a+c)$ and recalling that for all j, $|v_j(t)| \leq k_j^{-s} \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mathcal{F}X - \mathcal{F}Y)_n(t)| &\leq 2M \|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty} \|X - Y\|_{\mathcal{H}} \Big[k_{n-1} k_{n-1}^{-2s} + k_n k_n^{-s} k_{n+1}^{-s} \Big] \int_0^t e^{-\frac{k_n}{g_n}(t-u)} du \\ &\leq 4M \|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty} \|X - Y\|_{\mathcal{H}} k_{n-1}^{-2s} g_n (1 - e^{-\frac{k_n}{g_n} t}), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\|\mathcal{F}X - \mathcal{F}Y\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 4M \|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty} \|X - Y\|_{\mathcal{H}} L(\eta).$$

Let $\theta \in (0,1)$. Choose η small enough that $L(\eta) \leq \theta (8 \|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty} \|x\|_{H^s})^{-1}$. Then the first requirement is satisfied and $\|\mathcal{F}X - \mathcal{F}Y\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \theta \|X - Y\|_{\mathcal{H}}$, and we conclude by Banach's fixed point theorem. □

3 The main result

In this section we prove our main result. The theorem follows immediately from our Theorem 13, which works in a slightly more general setting.

Theorem 5. *Suppose that g_n is non-decreasing, $\frac{k_n}{g_n}$ is eventually non-decreasing and that $\sum_{n \geq 1} g_n^{-1} = \infty$. If $x \in H^s$ for all $s > 0$, then there exists a solution X with initial condition x such that $X \in L^\infty([0,\infty); H^s)$ for all $s > 0$. This solution is unique in the class $L^3_{\mathrm{loc}}([0,\infty); W^{\beta/3,3})$.*

3.1 The bounding sequence

For all initial condition in H, we introduce a sequence of positive numbers which will be fundamental to bound all weak solutions.

Definition 6. *A sequence $y = (y_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is the bounding sequence for $x \in H$ if it is defined by*

$$y_1 := y_2 := 2\|x\|_H^2, \tag{5}$$

$$y_{n+2} := C_{n+2}(y_{n+1}^{1/2}) y_n + \sum_{i \geq n+2} x_i^2, \qquad n \geq 1, \tag{6}$$

where for $n \geq 3$, $C_n : \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto (0,1)$ is the following increasing function,

$$C_n(v) := \left(1 + \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} g_n \|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty} v} \right)^{-1}, \qquad v > 0.$$

Lemma 7. *Suppose g is non-decreasing. Let $T > 0$, $x \in H$ and y be the bounding sequence for x. Suppose X is a weak solution with initial condition x that satisfies the energy inequality on $[0,t]$ for all $t < T$. Then $X_n^2(t) \le y_n$ for all $t \in [0,T)$ and all $n \ge 1$.*

Proof. Define

$$d_n^2(t) = F_n(t) + \sum_{i \ge n+1} \int_0^t \frac{2}{g_i} k_i X_i^2(s) ds. \tag{7}$$

Notice that $X_n^2(t) \le F_n(t) \le d_n^2(t) \le \|x\|_H^2$ by the definition of d_n and (3). By (4) we deduce that

$$d_n^2(t) \le \int_0^t 2\phi_{n-1} k_{n-1} X_{n-1}^2(s) X_n(s) ds - \int_0^t \frac{2}{g_n} k_n X_n^2(s) ds + F_n(0). \tag{8}$$

Define

$$\bar{d}_n := \sup_{0 \le t < T} d_n(t) < \infty.$$

We claim that for all $n \ge 1$

$$\bar{d}_{n+2}^2 \le C_{n+2}(\bar{d}_{n+1}) \bar{d}_n^2 + F_{n+2}(0). \tag{9}$$

Then, since $y_1 := y_2 := 2\|x\|_H^2$ and since C_n is monotone increasing, an easy induction argument yields $\bar{d}_n^2 \le y_n$ for all $n \ge 1$ and hence that

$$X_n^2(t) \le d_n^2(t) \le \bar{d}_n^2 \le y_n,$$

for all n and all t.

We turn to the proof of the claim (9). By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality applied to (8)

$$\begin{aligned} d_n^2(t) &\le \int_0^t \|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty} k_{n-1} |X_{n-1}(s)| (X_{n-1}^2(s) + X_n^2(s)) ds + F_n(0) \\ &\le \|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty} \bar{d}_{n-1} \int_0^t k_{n-1} (X_{n-1}^2(s) + X_n^2(s)) ds + F_n(0) \\ &\le g_n \|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty} \bar{d}_{n-1} \int_0^t \left(\frac{k_{n-1}}{g_{n-1}} X_{n-1}^2(s) + \frac{k_n}{g_n} X_n^2(s) \right) ds + F_n(0), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that g_n and k_n are non-decreasing with n. We get another bound from (7),

$$\begin{aligned} d_n^2(t) - d_{n-2}^2(t) = F_n(t) - F_{n-2}(t) - \int_0^t \frac{2k_{n-1}}{g_{n-1}} X_{n-1}^2(s) ds - \int_0^t \frac{2k_n}{g_n} X_n^2(s) ds \\ \le -2 \int_0^t \left(\frac{k_{n-1}}{g_{n-1}} X_{n-1}^2(s) + \frac{k_n}{g_n} X_n^2(s) \right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

hence putting the former into the latter,

$$d_n^2(t) \le d_{n-2}^2(t) - 2\int_0^t \left(\frac{k_{n-1}}{g_{n-1}} X_{n-1}^2(s) + \frac{k_n}{g_n} X_n^2(s)\right) ds \le d_{n-2}^2(t) - \frac{d_n^2(t) - F_n(0)}{\frac{1}{2} g_n \|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty} \bar{d}_{n-1}},$$

yielding

$$d_n^2(t) \le \left(1 + \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} g_n \|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty} \bar{d}_{n-1}}\right)^{-1} d_{n-2}^2(t) + F_n(0) = C_n(\bar{d}_{n-1}) d_{n-2}^2(t) + F_n(0).$$

Taking the sup for $s \in [0, T)$ yields the claimed inequality (9). □

Lemma 7 states that the variables $X_n(t)$ can be bounded by the the bounding sequence y, so we will spend the rest of the section to show exponential decay for the bounding sequence y_n. As a first step we see that bounding sequences converge to 0.

Lemma 8. *Suppose g is non-decreasing and $\sum_{n\ge1} g_n^{-1} = \infty$. Let $x \in H^s$ for some $s > 0$ and let y be the bounding sequence for x. For all $n \ge 1$, let $h_n := \sum_{j\ge n} \sum_{i\ge j} x_i^2$. Then*

$$y_{n+2m} \le y_n \prod_{i=1}^{m} C_{n+2i}(y_{n+2i-1}^{1/2}) + h_n, \qquad \text{for all } n \ge 1, m \ge 0. \tag{10}$$

Moreover $y_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. Since $C_j \le 1$ for all j, inequality (10) is easily proved by induction on m using (6).

From this we deduce that y is bounded. Since $v \mapsto C_j(v)$ is monotone increasing, we may replace the bound for y inside C_j yielding that

$$C_j(y_{j-1}^{1/2}) \le (1 + c g_j^{-1})^{-1}, \qquad j \ge 1,$$

for some constant $c > 0$. Since $\sum_{j\ge1} g_j^{-1} = \infty$, then $\prod_{j\ge1}(1 + c g_j^{-1})^{-1} = 0$. Since g is monotone, then $\prod_{i\ge1}(1 + c g_{n+2i}^{-1})^{-1} = 0$ too, hence by considering (10) for n and $n+1$, we get,

$$\limsup_{j\ge n} y_j \le h_n + h_{n+1}.$$

Since $x \in H^s$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} h_n = 0$, therefore $y_n \to 0$. □

The next step is to introduce in Definition 9 below a special sub–sequence of the indices of g_n, this step is necessary because the hypothesis $\sum_n g_n = \infty$ does not provide enough information on the rate of divergence of the series.

Definition 9. *Given a sequence g with $\sum_{n\ge1} g_n^{-1} = \infty$, a positive integer n_0 and real numbers $\theta > 0, s > 0$, define by induction on $k \ge 0$,*

$$n_{k+1} := \inf\Big\{ n \ge n_k + 2 : \sum_{j=n_k+2}^{n} g_j^{-1} \ge 2^{-sk}\theta \Big\} < \infty. \tag{11}$$

Notice that the definition above gives a finite number, because $\sum_{j\geq 1} g_j^{-1} = \infty$. The importance of this definition will be clear with the next two lemmas.

Lemma 10. *Suppose g is non-decreasing and $\sum_{n\geq 1} g_n^{-1} = \infty$. Let $x \in H^s$ for some $s > 0$ and let y be the bounding sequence for x. Then there exist $n_0 \geq 1$ and $\theta > 0$ such that the sequence $(n_k)_{k\geq 0}$ given in Definition 9 satisfies the following inequality:*

$$\sup_{j\geq n_k} y_j \leq 2^{-2sk}, \qquad k \geq 0. \tag{12}$$

Proof. In view of applying Lemma 8, we need to bound y_n and h_n for n large. Since $x \in H^s$, then for any $\epsilon > 0$, $x_n \leq \epsilon 2^{-sn}$ eventually and in particular for n large,

$$h_n = \sum_{j\geq n}\sum_{i\geq j} x_i^2 = \sum_{j\geq 1} j x_{j+n-1}^2 \leq 2^{-2sn}\epsilon \sum_{j\geq 1} j 2^{-2s(j-1)}.$$

so for any $\eta > 0$, $h_n \leq \eta 2^{-2sn}$ eventually. We also know from Lemma 8 that $y_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Thus fix some $\eta > 0$ and let n_0 be large enough that for all $n \geq n_0$,

$$y_n \leq 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad h_n \leq \eta 2^{-2sn}. \tag{13}$$

We now proceed to prove (12) by induction on $k \geq 0$. The initial step is simply given by the definition of n_0.

We turn to the induction step. Suppose $\sup_{j\geq n_k} y_j \leq 2^{-2sk}$. Then for $j \geq n_k + 1$,

$$C_j (y_{j-1}^{1/2})^{-1} := 1 + \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} g_j \|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty} y_{j-1}^{1/2}} \geq 1 + \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} g_j \|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty} 2^{-sk}} = 1 + c 2^{sk} g_j^{-1},$$

where $c = 2/\|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty}$. By (10) we have then, for $n \geq n_k - 1$,

$$y_{n+2m} \leq y_n \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + c 2^{sk} g_{n+2i}^{-1})^{-1} + h_n,$$

By the monotonicity of g,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + c 2^{sk} g_{n+2i}^{-1}) \geq 1 + c 2^{sk} \sum_{i=1}^{m} g_{n+2i}^{-1} \geq 1 + c 2^{sk} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=n+2}^{n+2m} g_j^{-1}.$$

By the definition of n_{k+1} in (11), if $n \leq n_k$ and $n + 2m \geq n_{k+1}$ we have

$$\sum_{j=n+2}^{n+2m} g_j^{-1} \geq 2^{-sk}\theta.$$

Collecting all conditions, we have proved that if $n \in \{n_k - 1, n_k\}$ and $n + 2m \geq n_{k+1}$, then

$$y_{n+2m} \leq y_n (1 + \frac{1}{2} c\theta)^{-1} + h_n.$$

Since $n \geq n_k - 1 \geq n_{k-1}$, then by inductive hypothesis $y_n \leq 2^{-2s(k-1)}$; moreover since $n \geq n_k - 1 \geq k$, then by the second one of (13), $h_n \leq \eta 2^{-2sk}$, so the bound above becomes

$$y_{n+2m} \leq 2^{-2s(k-1)}(1 + \frac{1}{2}c\theta)^{-1} + \eta 2^{-2sk}.$$

Now we choose θ large enough and η small enough that

$$2^{2s}(1 + \frac{1}{2}c\theta)^{-1} + \eta \leq 2^{-2s},$$

to get

$$y_{n+2m} \leq 2^{-2s(k+1)}, \qquad n \in \{n_k - 1, n_k\}, \quad n + 2m \geq n_{k+1}.$$

Since for all $j \geq n_{k+1}$ there exist n and m such that $n_k - 1 \leq n \leq n_k$ and $j = n + 2m \geq n_{k+1}$, we have proved

$$\sup_{j \geq n_{k+1}} y_j \leq 2^{-2s(k+1)},$$

closing the induction. □

Lemma 11. *Suppose g is non-decreasing and $\sum_{n\geq 1} g_n^{-1} = \infty$. Let $n_0 \geq 1$ and $\theta > 0$ be constant. If $(n_k)_{k\geq 0}$ is as in Definition 9 then there exist infinitely many $k \geq 1$ such that $n_{k+1} = n_k + 2$.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists a non–negative integer r such that $n_{k+1} \geq n_k + 3$ for all $k \geq r$. By the definition of the sequence $(n_k)_{k\geq 0}$, we know that for $k \geq r$,

$$\sum_{j=n_k+2}^{n_{k+1}-1} g_j^{-1} < 2^{-sk}\theta.$$

Summing on k we obtain

$$\sum_{k\geq r} \sum_{j=n_k+2}^{n_{k+1}-1} g_j^{-1} < \infty,$$

hence since $\sum_{j\geq n_r} g_j^{-1} = \infty$,

$$\sum_{k\geq r} (g_{n_k}^{-1} + g_{n_k+1}^{-1}) = \infty. \tag{14}$$

But $g_{n_k+1}^{-1} \leq g_{n_k}^{-1} \leq g_{n_{k-1}+2}^{-1} \leq 2^{-s(k-1)}\theta$, which is in contradiction with (14). Hence there exist infinitely many k such that $n_{k+1} = n_k + 2$. □

Lemma 12. *Let $x \in H^s$ for some $s > 0$ and let y be the bounding sequence for x. Suppose that g_n is non-decreasing, $g_n 2^{-sn}$ is eventually non-increasing and that $\sum_{n\geq 1} g_n^{-1} = \infty$. Then*

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} 2^{2sn} y_n < \infty.$$

Proof. Let us recall the recursion (6) that defines the bounding sequence y,

$$y_{n+2} := c_{n+2} y_n + f_{n+2}, \qquad n \geq 1, \tag{15}$$

where

$$c_n := C_n(y_{n-1}^{1/2}) := \left(1 + \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} g_n \|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty} y_{n-1}^{1/2}}\right)^{-1}, \qquad n \geq 3,$$

and where $f_n := F_n(0)$, $n \geq 3$. Since $x \in H^s$, it is immediate that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} 2^{2sn} f_n < \infty, \tag{16}$$

so our strategy will be to show that $c_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

By Lemma 10 there exist n_0 and θ such that the sequence $(n_i)_{i \geq 1}$ of Definition 9 satisfies

$$\sup_{j \geq n_i} y_j \leq 2^{-2si}, \qquad i \geq 0. \tag{17}$$

A fortiori these inequalities hold also if we take larger values for n_0 and θ, so let θ be large enough to verify inequality (20) below and let n_0 be large enough that:

1. $f_n \leq \frac{1}{2} 2^{-2sn}$ for $n \geq n_0$ (a consequence of (16));
2. $n \mapsto g_n 2^{-sn}$ is non-increasing for $n \geq n_0$.

By Lemma 11 there exists k such that $n_{k+1} = 2 + n_k$, that is, $g_{n_k+2}^{-1} \geq 2^{-sk}\theta$ hence

$$g_{n_k+m} \leq \frac{1}{2^{2s}\theta} 2^{s(k+m)}, \qquad m \geq 2. \tag{18}$$

We have all the ingredients to prove the following inequality:

$$y_{n_k+m} \leq 2^{2s} 2^{-2s(k+m)}, \qquad m \geq 0. \tag{19}$$

Let us proceed by induction on m. The initial steps for $m = 0$ and $m = 1$ follow immediately from (17) with $i = k$.

For the inductive step, suppose the inequality (19) is true up to $m+1$. By (18) and the inductive hypothesis,

$$c_{n_k+m+2} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} g_{n_k+m+2} \|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty} y_{n+k+m+1}^{1/2}}\right)^{-1} \leq \left(1 + \frac{2\theta}{\|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty}}\right)^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{2} 2^{-4s},$$

if we choose θ large enough that

$$\left(1 + \frac{2\theta}{\|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty}}\right)^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{2} 2^{-4s}. \tag{20}$$

Moreover, since $n_k \geq k-1$, we have

$$f_{n_k+m+2} \leq \frac{1}{2}2^{-2s(n_k+m+2)} \leq \frac{1}{2}2^{2s}2^{-2s(k+m+2)},$$

hence

$$y_{n_k+m+2} = c_{n_k+m+2}y_{n_k+m} + f_{n_k+m+2} \leq 2^{2s}2^{-2s(k+m+2)},$$

thus closing the induction.

Inequality (19) says us that $y_n \to 0$ at least as fast as 2^{-2sn}. To get $\sum_n 2^{2sn}y_n < \infty$ we need a little bit more. We proved above that for any θ large enough there exists n_k such that

$$\sup_{j \geq n_k} c_j \leq \left(1 + \frac{2\theta}{\|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty}}\right)^{-1}.$$

By the arbitrarity of θ, $\lim_{n\to\infty} c_n = 0$. This together with (15) and (16) proves that

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} 2^{2sn}y_n < \infty. \qquad \square$$

3.2 Global existence, uniqueness and regularity

Theorem 13. *Let $x \in H^s$ for some $s > \frac{\beta}{3}$. Suppose that $g_n \in H^{-s}$ is non-decreasing, $g_n 2^{-sn}$ is eventually non-increasing and that $\sum_{n\geq 1} g_n^{-1} = \infty$. Then there exists a solution in the class $L^\infty([0,\infty); H^s)$. This solution is unique in the class $L^3_{\mathrm{loc}}([0,\infty); W^{\beta/3,3})$.*

Proof. Let $T > 0$ be the maximal time of existence in H^s of the solution provided by Proposition 4. In particular, $X \in L^\infty([0,t]; H^s)$ for all $t < T$ and, since $s > \beta/3$, $X \in L^3([0,t]; W^{\beta/3,3})$ for all $t < T$. Hence, by Proposition 3, X satisfies the energy equality on $[0,t]$. Lemma 7 applies, so if y denotes the bounding sequence for x, we have

$$X_n^2(t) \leq y_n, \qquad n \geq 1, \quad t \in [0,T). \tag{21}$$

By Lemma 12

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T)} \|X(t)\|_{H^s}^2 \leq \sum_{n\geq 1} 2^{2sn}y_n < \infty.$$

If $T = \infty$ we just proved $X \in L^\infty([0,\infty); H^s)$. Suppose by contradiction that $T < \infty$. Then the bound in (21) can be extended to $t \in [0,T]$ by the continuity of X_n hence again by Lemma 12, $X(T) \in H^s$ and it would be possible to apply Proposition 4, in contradiction with the maximality of T.

Finally we turn to uniqueness in $L^3_{\mathrm{loc}}([0,\infty); W^{\beta/3,3})$. By Proposition 3, Lemma 7 and Lemma 12, if X is a solution of class $L^3_{\mathrm{loc}}([0,\infty); W^{\beta/3,3})$, then X is also of class $L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}([0,\infty); H^s)$, hence by Proposition 4 it is unique. $\square$

3.3 Additional remarks

The last part of the paper is devoted to some final remarks about our results. They have been collected here in order to give a more complete understanding of the problem, while focusing, in the main body of the paper, on the assumptions corresponding to those of [13].

3.3.1 A useful generalization

The results presented in the previous sections allow for more general coefficients ϕ. Namely, assume that

$$\phi_n = \phi_n(t, X_{n-m}, X_{n-m+1}, \dots, X_{n+m}), \tag{22}$$

for all $n \geq 1$, where $m \geq 1$ is a fixed integer. For convenience we set $X_{-m} = X_{-m+1} = \cdots = X_0 = 0$. Assume moreover that the functions $(\phi_n)_{n\geq 1}$ are uniformly bounded and uniformly Lipschitz. This ensures that the local existence and uniqueness theorem (Proposition 4) still holds. In Proposition 3 and Lemma 7 we only use the uniform boundedness, while lemmas 8, 10-12 deal only with bounding sequences.

The above model has a nice application to the averaged Navier-Stokes system studied by Tao in [14]. By making a special average on the trasport of the NS equations, the author derives a vector-valued dyadic system, very similar to (1) but with four component for each n. A general version of this averaged system is

$$\begin{cases} X'_{1,n} = -\frac{k_n^\alpha}{g_n} X_{1,n} + k_n^\gamma \left(-C_1 X_{3,n} X_{4,n} - C_2 X_{1,n} X_{2,n} - C_3 X_{1,n} X_{3,n} + C_4 X_{4,n-1}^2 \right), \\ X'_{2,n} = -\frac{k_n^\alpha}{g_n} X_{2,n} + k_n^\gamma \left(C_2 X_{1,n}^2 - C_5 X_{3,n}^2 \right), \\ X'_{3,n} = -\frac{k_n^\alpha}{g_n} X_{3,n} + k_n^\gamma \left(C_3 X_{1,n}^2 + C_5 X_{2,n} X_{3,n} \right), \\ X'_{4,n} = -\frac{k_n^\alpha}{g_n} X_{4,n} + k_n^\gamma C_1 X_{1,n} X_{3,n} - k_{n+1}^\gamma C_4 X_{4,n} X_{1,n+1}, \\ X_{\cdot,n}(0) = x_{\cdot,n}, \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

for all $t > 0$ and $n \geq 1$.

Here $X = (X_{i,n})_{i\in\{1,2,3,4\}, n\geq 1}$ is a family of real functions, $X_{i,n} : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$; $X_{\cdot,0} \equiv 0$; $x = (x_{i,n})_{i\in\{1,2,3,4\}, n\geq 1}$ is the given initial condition, $k_n = 2^{\beta n}$ with $\beta > 0$, and $C_1, \dots, C_5$ are five real constant.

In the framework of Navier-Stokes equations the constants $\alpha = 2$ and $\gamma = \frac{5}{2}$ give a strictly supercritical regime. In [14] the author shows that this system with a suitable initial condition develops a singularity. For system (23) the critical regime is for $\alpha = \gamma$ and $g \equiv 1$ (it is the regime in which the trasport effects are of the same order of the dissipative effect) whereas the logarithmically supercritical regime congectured in [13] is given by $\alpha = \gamma$ and g such that $\sum_n g_n^{-1} = \infty$.

The latter case can be included in our model (1) with general coefficients (22). Indeed,

by summing up the components $X_n^2 := \sum_{i=1}^4 X_{i,n}^2$ one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}X_n^2 &= -\frac{k_n^\alpha}{g_n}X_n^2 + C_4(k_n^\gamma X_{4,n-1}^2 X_{1,n} - k_{n+1}^\gamma X_{4,n}^2 X_{1,n+1}) \\ &= -\frac{k_n^\alpha}{g_n}X_n^2 + \phi_n k_n^\gamma X_{n-1}^2 X_n - \phi_{n+1} k_{n+1}^\gamma X_n^2 X_{n+1}\end{aligned}$$

and this can be reduced to the system (1), when $\alpha = \gamma$ by a suitable choice of β and appropriate functions $\phi_n(t)$ depending on n and t and uniformly bounded.

3.3.2 Conditions for smoothing

Here we study the smoothing effect of the dissipative part. We work under the assumptions of Theorem 5.

The linear operator. Consider the system $Z_n' = -\frac{k_n}{g_n}Z_n$, $n \geq 1$, the linear part of (1).

Lemma 14. *Assume additionally that $\frac{ng_n}{k_n} \to 0$. If $x \in \ell^2$ and Z is the solution starting at x, then $Z(t) \in L^\infty([\epsilon, \infty); H^s)$ for every $\epsilon > 0$ and every $s > 0$.*

Proof. Clearly $Z_n(t) = x_n \exp\bigl(-\frac{k_n}{g_n}t\bigr)$ and $\sup_n(2^{sn}Z_n(t)) < \infty$ for all $s > 0$, $t > 0$ if and only if $\frac{ng_n}{k_n} \to 0$. □

Remark 15. *If $\frac{ng_n}{k_n} \not\to 0$, the linear dissipation may not have a smoothing effect. Indeed, it is easy to construct a counterexample. Choose $n_1 \geq 1$ and set $n_{p+1} = n_p 2^{\beta k_{n_p}/n_p}$, $p \geq 1$, $g_{n_p} = k_{n_p}/n_p$, and define $g_n = g_{n_p}$ for $n_p \leq n \leq m_p$, and $g_n = g_{n_p}k_n/k_{m_p}$ for $m_p + 1 \leq n < n_{p+1}$, where $m_p = n_p + k_{n_p}/n_p$ It is easy to verify that $(g_n)_{n\geq 1}$ satisfies our standing assumptions and that there are sequences $(x_n)_{n\geq 1} \in \ell^2$ such that the corresponding solution Z is not smooth.*

Smoothing by dissipation. We now analyse the smoothing effect for the non–linear equation. Our final result is the following.

Theorem 16. *Assume additionally that $\frac{ng_n}{k_n} \to 0$. If $s > \beta$ and X is a solution such that $X(0) \in H^s$ and $X \in L^\infty([0,T]; H^s)$, then $X \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}((0,T]; H^s)$ for every $s > 0$.*

The theorem follows immediately from the following lemma.

Lemma 17. *Under the same assumptions of the previous theorem, let $s_1 > \beta$ and $s_2 \in (s_1, 2s_1 - \beta)$. If X is a solution such that $X(0) \in H^{s_1}$ and $X \in L^\infty([0,T]; H^{s_1})$, then $X \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}((0,T]; H^{s_2})$. More precisely, there is a non–decreasing upper semi–continuous function $\varphi : (0,\infty) \to \mathbf{R}$ such that φ is continuous in 0 with $\varphi(0) = 0$, and*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \bigl(\varphi(t)\|X(t)\|_{H^{s_2}}\bigr) < \infty.$$

Proof. We have that

$$2^{s_2 n} X_n(t) = 2^{s_2 n}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{k_n}{g_n} t}\, X_n(0) + 2^{s_2 n} \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{k_n}{g_n}(t-s)} (\phi_{n-1} k_{n-1} X_{n-1}^2 - \phi_n k_n X_n X_{n+1})\, ds,$$

and consider the two terms on the right hand side separately.

For the non–linear term, we use the inequality $|X_n(t)| \le 2^{-s_1 n} \|X\|_{L^\infty(H^{s_1})}$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big| 2^{s_2 n} \textstyle\int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{k_n}{g_n}(t-s)} (\phi_{n-1} k_{n-1} X_{n-1}^2 - \phi_n k_n X_n X_{n+1})\, ds \Big| \le \\ &\qquad \le c \|X\|^2_{L^\infty(H^{s_1})} \|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty} 2^{n(s_2 - 2s_1)} g_n \le c \|X\|^2_{L^\infty(H^{s_1})} \|\phi\|_{\ell^\infty} 2^{n(s_2 - 2s_1 + \beta)} \in \ell^2, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

since $g_n \le c k_n$ and, by the choice of s_2, $s_2 - 2s_1 + \beta < 0$.

For the term with the initial condition we notice that

$$2^{s_2 n}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{k_n}{g_n} t}\, |X_n(0)| = 2^{(s_2 - s_1) n}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{k_n}{g_n} t} \big(2^{s_1 n} |X_n(0)|\big) \le \psi(t) \big(2^{s_1 n} |X_n(0)|\big) \in \ell^2,$$

where $\psi(t) = \sup_n \big(2^{(s_2 - s_1) n} \exp\big(-\frac{k_n}{g_n} t\big)\big)$. It is easy to check that ψ is non–increasing, lower semi–continuous and $\psi(t) \uparrow \infty$ as $t \downarrow 0$. Choose $\varphi = 1/\psi$ to conclude the proof. □

References

[1] David Barbato, Franco Flandoli, and Francesco Morandin. A theorem of uniqueness for an inviscid dyadic model. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 348(9-10):525–528, 2010.

[2] David Barbato, Franco Flandoli, and Francesco Morandin. Energy dissipation and self-similar solutions for an unforced inviscid dyadic model. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 363(4):1925–1946, 2011.

[3] David Barbato and Francesco Morandin. Positive and non-positive solutions for an inviscid dyadic model: well-posedness and regularity. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, 20(3):1105–1123, 2013.

[4] David Barbato, Francesco Morandin, and Marco Romito. Smooth solutions for the dyadic model. *Nonlinearity*, 24(11):3083–3097, 2011.

[5] A. Cheskidov and S. Friedlander. The vanishing viscosity limit for a dyadic model. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 238(8):783–787, 2009.

[6] Alexey Cheskidov. Blow-up in finite time for the dyadic model of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 360(10):5101–5120, 2008.

[7] Alexey Cheskidov, Susan Friedlander, and Nataša Pavlović. Inviscid dyadic model of turbulence: the fixed point and Onsager's conjecture. *J. Math. Phys.*, 48(6):065503, 16, 2007.

[8] Alexey Cheskidov, Susan Friedlander, and Nataša Pavlović. An inviscid dyadic model of turbulence: the global attractor. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 26(3):781–794, 2010.

[9] N. H. Katz and N. Pavlović. A cheap Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality for the Navier-Stokes equation with hyper-dissipation. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 12(2):355–379, 2002.

[10] Nets Hawk Katz and Nataša Pavlović. Finite time blow-up for a dyadic model of the Euler equations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 357(2):695–708 (electronic), 2005.

[11] J. C. Mattingly and Ya. G. Sinai. An elementary proof of the existence and uniqueness theorem for the Navier-Stokes equations. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 1(4):497–516, 1999.

[12] Terence Tao. Global regularity for a logarithmically supercritical defocusing nonlinear wave equation for spherically symmetric data. *J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ.*, 4(2):259–265, 2007.

[13] Terence Tao. Global regularity for a logarithmically supercritical hyperdissipative Navier-Stokes equation. *Anal. PDE*, 2(3):361–366, 2009.

[14] Terence Tao. Finite time blowup for an averaged three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equation, 2014. arXiv:1402.0290.